RUNNING TIME ANALYSIS - PART 2 BINARY SEARCH TREES

Problem Solving with Computers-II



How is PA01 going?

- A. Done!
- B. On track to finish
- C. On track to finish but my code is a mess
- D. Stuck and struggling
- E. Haven't started

Midterm – Wednesday 5/15

- Cumulative but the focus will be on
 - BST
 - Running time analysis





$$f(n) = 5 N^{2} \log N + N + 1000$$

$$\leq 5 N^{2} \log N + N^{2} \log N + N^{2} \log N + N^{2} \log N$$

$$= 7 N^{2} \log N$$

$$\int (N^{2} \log N)$$

A more precise definition of Big-O

- f(n) and g(n): running times of two algorithms on inputs of size n.
- f(n) and g(n) map positive integer inputs to positive reals.



Accoreding to the definition on the previous
slide if
$$f = O(g)$$
, $f = O(h)$ for
any $h > g$
So if $f = O(N^2 \log N)$, then technically
 $f = O(N^2 \log N)$, then technically
 $f = O(N^3)$
 $f = O(N^3)$
 $f = O(N^3)$
But in practice when doing Big.O
analysis we look for the lowest
order function that satisfies the
definition of Big-Oh (the tightest uppen
bound to $f(n)$)

What is the Big-O running time of algoX?



for (int i=0; i < N; i++) ds.insert(arr[i]); Running time of this loop is less than c, N log N

for(int i=0; i < N; ...:i++){
 arr[i] = ds.min();
 ds.delete(arr[i]);
}</pre>

Running time of this Loop is less than

 $N(c_2 + c_3 \log N)$

Overall running time is

 $C_1 N \log N + C_2 N + C_3 N \log N$ = $O(N \log N)$

Keason . Each insert takes a different amount of time because the running time depends on the number of keys already in Js. The first insert takes the least time, the last onl takes the most. Although we don't know the exact number of operations for each In sert, we can find an upper limit. Specifically, the running time of each insert is less than cit log N

Big-Omega

- f(n) and g(n): running times of two algorithms on inputs of size n.
- f(n) and g(n) map positive integer inputs to positive reals.

We say $f = \Omega(g)$ if there are constants c > 0, k > 0 such that $c \cdot g(n) \le f(n)$ for $n \ge k$

 $f = \Omega(g)$ means that "f grows at least as fast as g"



Big-Theta

- f(n) and g(n): running times of two algorithms on inputs of size n.
- f(n) and g(n) map positive integer inputs to positive reals.

We say $f = \Theta(g)$ if there are constants $c_1, c_{2,k}$ such that $0 \le c_1g(n) \le f(n) \le c_2g(n)$, for $n \ge k$



Problem Size (n)





Binary Search Trees

- WHAT are the operations supported?
- HOW do we implement them?
- WHAT are the (worst case) running times of each operation?

Height of the tree

- Path a sequence of nodes and edges connecting a node with a descendant.
- A path starts from a node and ends at another node or a leaf
- Height of node The height of a node is the number of edges on the longest downward path between that node and a leaf.



Worst case Big-O of search



 Given a BST of height H with N nodes, what is the worst case complexity of searching for a key?

A. O(1)
B. O(log H)
C. O(H)
D. O(H*log H)
E. O(N)

Worst case Big-O of insert



 Given a BST of height H and N nodes, what is the worst case complexity of inserting a key? A. O(1) B. O(log H) D. O(H*log H) E. O(N)

Worst case Big-O of min/max



 Given a BST of height H and N nodes, what is the worst case complexity of finding the minimum or maximum key?

A. O(1)
B. O(log H)
C. O(H)
D. O(H*log H)
E. O(N)



Worst case Big-O of predecessor/successor

 Given a BST of height H and N nodes, what is the worst case complexity of finding the predecessor or successor key?
 A. O(1)

A. O(1) B. O(log H) C. O(H) D. O(H*log H) E. O(N)

42

45

32

12

Worst case Big-O of delete



 Given a BST of height H and N nodes, what is the worst case complexity of deleting the key (assume no duplicates)?

A. O(1) B O(log H) C. O(H) D. O(H*log H) E. O(N)

Worst case analysis

Are binary search trees really faster than linked lists for finding elements?



Completely filled binary tree

Nodes at each level have exactly two children, Level 0 42 except the nodes at the last level A balanced BST, by definition is one where H = O(logN)Level 1 32 45 12 41 50 43 Level 2 We will show that a completely filled BST is balanced. To do this we have to show that its height is O(wg N)



Relating H (height) and N (#nodes)
find is O(H), we want to find a
$$f(N) = H$$

 $1+2+4+\cdots 2^{H} = N$
 $2^{H+1} = N$
 $H^{2}_{Level 0}$
Level 1
Level 2

. . .

Finally, what is the height (exactly) of the tree in terms of N

Balanced trees

- Balanced trees by definition have a height of O(log N)
- A completely filled tree is one example of a balanced tree
- Other Balanced BSTs include AVL trees, red black trees and so on
- Visualize operations on an AVL tree: <u>https://visualgo.net/bn/bst</u>

Big O of traversals



In Order: O() Pre Order: O() Post Order: O()

Summary of operations: Worst case Bolg-O Balanced

Operation	Sorted Array	Binary Search Tree	Linked List
Min	011)	O(LOGN)	0(2)
Max	0(1)	0(log N)	O(N)
Median	0(1)	-	-
Successor	0(17	0 (205N)	*
Predecessor	0(1)	O(IOIN)	
Search 🕈	0((0jr))	O (LOSN)	O(N)
Insert	0 (2)	OCLOSN)	O(1) Insert to head
Delete	(4)0	O (LOS N)	O(N) to search, O4) to dele