

THE RULE OF THREE LINKED LISTS (CONT.)

Problem Solving with Computers-II

C++

```
#include <iostream>
using namespace std;

int main(){
    cout<<"Hola Facebook!";
    return 0;
}
```



Linked List Abstract Data Type (ADT)

```
class LinkedList {
public:
    LinkedList();
    ~LinkedList();
    // other public methods

private:
    struct Node {
        int info;
        Node* next;
    };
    Node* head;
    Node* tail;
};
```

Memory Errors

- Memory Leak: Program does not free memory allocated on the heap.
- Segmentation Fault: Code tries to access an invalid memory location

RULE OF THREE

If a class defines one (or more) of the following it should probably explicitly define all three:

1. Destructor
2. Copy constructor
3. Copy assignment

The questions we ask are:

1. What is the behavior of these defaults?
2. What is the desired behavior ?
3. How should we over-ride these methods?

```
void test_append_0(){
    LinkedList l1;
    l1.append(10);
    l1.print();
}
```

Assume:

- * **Default destructor**
- * **Default copy constructor**
- * **Default copy assignment**

What is the result of running the above code?

- A. Compiler error
- B. Memory leak
- C. Segmentation fault
- D. None of the above

Behavior of default copy constructor

```
void test_copy_constructor() {
    LinkedList l1;
    l1.append(1);
    l1.append(2);
    LinkedList l2{l1};
    // calls the copy c'tor
    l1.print();
    l2.print();
}
```

Assume:

destructor: user-defined

copy constructor: default

What is the output?

- A. Compiler error
- B. Memory leak
- C. Segmentation fault
- D. All of the above
- E. None of the above

Behavior of default copy assignment

l1 : 1 -> 2 -> 5 -> null

```
void default_assignment_1(LinkedList& l1){  
    LinkedList l2;  
    l2 = l1;  
}
```

* What is the behavior of the default assignment operator?

Assume:

- * **User-defined** destructor
- * **Default copy constructor**
- * **Default copy assignment**

Behavior of default copy assignment

```
void test_default_assignment_2(){
    LinkedList l1, l2;
    l1.append(1);
    l1.append(2)
    l2 = l1;
    l2.print()
}
```

What is the result of running the above code?

- A. Prints 1 , 2
- B. Segmentation fault
- C. Memory leak
- D. A &B
- E. A, B and C

Assume:

- * **User-defined** destructor
- * **Default copy constructor**
- * **Default copy assignment**

Behavior of default copy assignment

```
void test_default_assignment_3(){  
    LinkedList l1;  
    l1.append(1);  
    l1.append(2)  
    LinkedList l2{l1};  
    l2.append(10);  
    l2.append(20);  
    l2 = l1;  
    l2.print()  
}
```

What is the result of running the above code?

- A. Prints 1 , 2
- B. Segmentation fault
- C. Memory leak
- D. A &B
- E. A, B and C

Assume:

- * **User-defined** destructor
- * **User-defined** copy constructor
- * **Default** copy assignment

Overloading Operators

Overload relational operators for LinkedLists

==

!=

and possibly others

```
void test_equal(const LinkedList & lst1, const LinkedList &lst2){  
    if (lst1 == lst2)  
        cout<<"Lists are equal"<<endl;  
    else  
        cout<<"Lists are not equal"<<endl;  
}
```

Overloading Arithmetic Operators

Define your own addition operator for linked lists:

```
LinkedList l1, l2;
```

```
//append nodes to l1 and l2;
```

```
LinkedList l3 = l1 + l2 ;
```

Overloading input/output stream

Wouldn't it be convenient if we could do this:

```
LinkedList list;  
cout<<list; //prints all the elements of list
```

Next time

- Binary Search Trees