RUNNING TIME ANALYSIS

Problem Solving with Computers-II
Performance questions

• How efficient is a particular algorithm?
  • **CPU time usage** (Running time complexity)
  • Memory usage
  • Disk usage
  • Network usage

• Why does this matter?
  • Computers are getting faster, so is this really important?
  • Data sets are getting larger – does this impact running times?
How can we measure time efficiency of algorithms?

• One way is to measure the absolute running time

\[
\text{clock_t } t; \\
t = \text{clock}(); \\
//Code under test \\
t = \text{clock()} - t;
\]
Which implementation is significantly faster?

A.

```javascript
function F(n){
    if(n == 1) return 1
    if(n == 2) return 1
    return F(n-1) + F(n-2)
}
```

B.

```javascript
function F(n){
    Create an array fib[1..n]
    fib[1] = 1
    fib[2] = 1
    for i = 3 to n:
        fib[i] = fib[i-1] + fib[i-2]
    return fib[n]
}
```

C. Both are almost equally fast
A better question: How does the running time grow as a function of input size

function F(n) {
    if (n == 1) return 1
    if (n == 2) return 1
    return F(n-1) + F(n-2)
}

function F(n) {
    Create an array fib[1..n]
    fib[1] = 1
    fib[2] = 1
    for i = 3 to n:
        fib[i] = fib[i-1] + fib[i-2]
    return fib[n]
}

The “right” question is: How does the running time grow?
E.g. How long does it take to compute F(200)?
....let’s say on....
NEC Earth Simulator

Can perform up to 40 trillion operations per second.
The running time of the recursive implementation

The Earth simulator needs $2^{92}$ seconds for $F_{200}$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time in seconds</th>
<th>Interpretation</th>
<th>function $F(n)$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$2^{10}$</td>
<td>17 minutes</td>
<td>if ($n == 1$) return 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$2^{20}$</td>
<td>12 days</td>
<td>if ($n == 2$) return 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$2^{30}$</td>
<td>32 years</td>
<td>return $F(n-1) + F(n-2)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$2^{40}$</td>
<td>cave paintings</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$2^{70}$</td>
<td>The big bang!</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Let’s try calculating $F_{200}$ using the iterative algorithm on my laptop…..
Goals for measuring time efficiency

• Focus on the impact of the algorithm: Simplify the analysis of running time by ignoring “details” which may be an artifact of the underlying implementation:
  • E.g., \(1000001 \approx 1000000\)
  • Similarly, \(3n^2 \approx n^2\)

• Focus on asymptotic behavior: How does the running time of an algorithm increases with the size of the input in the limit (for large input sizes)
Counting steps (instead of absolute time)

- Every computer can do some primitive operations in constant time:
  - Data movement (assignment)
  - Control statements (branch, function call, return)
  - Arithmetic and logical operations

- By inspecting the pseudo-code, we can count the number of primitive operations executed by an algorithm
Running Time Complexity

Start by counting the primitive operations

```c
/* N is the length of the array*/
int sumArray(int arr[], int N)
{
    int result=0;
    for(int i=0; i < N; i++)
        result+=arr[i];
    return result;
}
```

Total operations:

\[
1 + 1 + 3 + N = 2 + 3N
\]
Big-O notation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>N</th>
<th>Steps = 5*N +3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1000</td>
<td>5003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10000</td>
<td>500003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100000</td>
<td>5000003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1000000</td>
<td>50000003</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Simplification 1: Count steps instead of absolute time
- Simplification 2: Ignore lower order terms
  - Does the constant 3 matter as N gets large?
- Simplification 3: Ignore constant coefficients in the leading term (5*N) simplified to N

Algorithm takes time $O(N)$ pronounced “big oh of N”
Big-O notation lets us focus on the big picture

Recall our goals:
• Focus on the impact of the algorithm
• Focus on asymptotic behavior (running time as \( N \) gets large)

Here is how for the sumArray function:

Step count : \( 3 + 5N \)
Drop the constant additive term : \( 5N \)
Drop the constant multiplicative term : \( N \)

**Running time grows linearly with the input size**
Express the count using \( O \)-notation

Time complexity = \( O(N) \)

(make sure you know what \( = \) means in this case)
A more precise definition of Big-O

• \( f(n) \) and \( g(n) \): running times of two algorithms on inputs of size \( n \).
• \( f(n) \) and \( g(n) \) map positive integer inputs to positive reals.

We say \( f = O(g) \) if there is a constant \( c > 0 \) such that \( f(n) \leq c \cdot g(n) \).

\( f = O(g) \) means that “\( f \) grows no faster than \( g \)”
Orders of growth

• We are interested in how algorithm running time scales with input size

• Big-Oh notation allows us to express that by ignoring the details

• 20N hours v. $N^2$ microseconds:
  • which has a higher order of growth?
  • Which one is better?
Writing Big O

• Simple Rule: Ignore lower order terms and constant factors:
  • $50n \log n$
  • $7n - 3$
  • $8n^2 \log n + 5n^2 + n + 1000$
Common sense rules of Big-O

1. Multiplicative constants can be omitted: $14n^2$ becomes $n^2$.
2. $n^a$ dominates $n^b$ if $a > b$: for instance, $n^2$ dominates $n$.
3. Any exponential dominates any polynomial: $3^n$ dominates $n^5$ (it even dominates $2^n$).

• Note: even though $50n \log n$ is $O(n^5)$, it is expected that such approximation be as tight as possible (tight upper bound).
Given the step counts for different algorithms, express the running time complexity using Big-O

1. $10000000$ \( O(1) \)
2. $3*N$ \( O(1) \)
3. $6*N-2$ \( O(N) \)
4. $15*N + 44$ \( O(N) \)
5. $N^2$ \( O(N^2) \)
6. $N^2-6N+9$ \( O(N^2) \)
7. $3N^2+4*log(N)+1000*N$ \( O(N^2) \)

For polynomials, use only leading term, ignore coefficients: linear, quadratic
What is the Big O of sumArray2

A. O(N^2)
B. O(N)
C. O(N/2)
D. O(log N)
E. None of the array

/* N is the length of the array*/
int sumArray2(int arr[], int N) {
    int result=0;
    for(int i=0; i < N; i=i+2)
        result+=arr[i];
    return result;
}

\[ \text{Steps} = \frac{N}{2} + C = O(N) \]
What is the Big O of `sumArray2`?

A. \( O(N^2) \)
B. \( O(N) \)
C. \( O(N/2) \)
D. \( O(\log N) \)
E. None of the array

The Big O notation for `sumArray2` is \( O(\log N) \). Here's why:

```c
/* N is the length of the array*/
int sumArray2(int arr[], int N)
{
    int result=0;
    for(int i=0; i < N; i=i/2)
        result+=arr[i];
    return result;
}
```

The loop stops when \( 2^{k-1} = N \) which implies \( k = \log N \).

Since \( i \) doubles each time, the loop will iterate \( \log N \) times:

\[
\text{steps} = \left\lceil \log(N+1) \right\rceil \Rightarrow T \leq \Theta(\log N)
\]
Operations on sorted arrays

- Min: $O(1)$
- Max: $O(1)$
- Median: $O(1)$
- Successor: $O(1)$
- Predecessor: $O(1)$
- Search: $O(\log n) \rightarrow$ Binary Search
- Insert: $O(n)$
- Delete: $O(n)$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>6</th>
<th>13</th>
<th>14</th>
<th>25</th>
<th>33</th>
<th>43</th>
<th>51</th>
<th>53</th>
<th>64</th>
<th>72</th>
<th>84</th>
<th>93</th>
<th>95</th>
<th>96</th>
<th>97</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

lo \quad hi
Big-Omega

- $f(n)$ and $g(n)$: running times of two algorithms on inputs of size $n$.
- $f(n)$ and $g(n)$ map positive integer inputs to positive reals.

We say $f = \Omega(g)$ if there is a constant $c > 0$ such that $c \cdot g(n) \leq f(n)$ for $n \geq k$

$f = \Omega(g)$ means that “$f$ grows at least as fast as $g$”
Big-Theta

- \( f(n) \) and \( g(n) \): running times of two algorithms on inputs of size \( n \).
- \( f(n) \) and \( g(n) \) map positive integer inputs to positive reals.

We say \( f = \Theta(g) \) if there are constants \( c_1, c_2 \) such that
\[
0 \leq c_1 g(n) \leq f(n) \leq c_2 g(n)
\]
How is PA02 going?

A. Done
B. On track to finish
C. Having trouble designing my classes
D. Stuck and struggling
E. Haven’t started

• PA02 deadline this Friday (02/15) at midnight
Next time

• Running time analysis of Binary Search Trees
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